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LHC Machine Performances

Impressive performances, already at 70% designed luminosity!

LHC Performance summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_p (10^{11} \ p/b)$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_b$</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>2808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing (ns)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75/50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$ (\mu rad)</td>
<td>2.4-4</td>
<td>1.9-2.4</td>
<td>2.2-2.5</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta^*$ (m)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.5-1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L \ (10^{32} \ cm^2 s^{-1})$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Courtesy A. Macpherson
High-lights on Swiss contributions to the LHC:

• LHC Contribution
  – Collimation (classical and bent crystal concept)
  – Impedance studies
  – Beam-beam interactions
  – Electron cloud effects
  – Leveling luminosity and stability issues
  – Energy deposition studies
  – Magnet design
  – Injectors studies

• HL-LHC contribution
  – Optics studies/solutions
  – Beam-beam interactions
  – Crab-cavities and colliding beams

• Computer facilities
LHC collimation system

Losses on superconducting magnets unwanted: can provoke a quench
System efficiency has to be better than 99.998% to avoid it!

Commissioning scenarios and tests for the LHC collimation system

C. Bracco, EPFL PhD thesis n° 4271 (2009)

Studies of loss maps to optimize the system performances
Performance evaluation of a crystal-enhanced collimation system for the LHC

V. Previtali, EPFL PhD thesis n° 4794 (2010)

P. J. Schoofs, EPFL PhD student

Use a bent crystal to drive the beam halo deep into a secondary collimator/absorber

- Collimation efficiency improved by factor 15
- “Standard” Collimators can be retracted
Beam Impedance:
traveling charges produce EM fields then scattered/reflected, depending on geometry and conductivity, by the surroundings. This acting back to beams can excite instabilities

Low frequency transverse impedance of LHC collimator jaws
B. Salvant, EPFL PhD thesis n° 4585 (2010)
LHC transverse impedance is dominated by the collimators contribution.
Defines beam intensity limits

The LHC Transverse Coupled-bunch Instability
N. Mounet, EPFL PhD thesis n° 5305 (2012)

Impedance defines intensity limits of the collider.
Drives transverse and/or longitudinal beam instabilities
Beam-beam interactions: EM interactions of beams when sharing a common beam pipe (Interaction regions)

Unfortunately during a beam crossing most of the particles ... 99.999% (or more) are just distorted!

\[ L \propto \frac{N_p^2}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot n_b \cdot f_{rev} \]

\[ \xi_{x,y} = \frac{N r_0 \beta^*_x y}{2\pi \gamma \sigma_x y (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)} \]

A Study of Beam-beam effects in hadron Colliders with a Large Number of Bunches

T. Pieloni, EPFL PhD thesis n° 4211 (2008)
e⁻ cloud: limits the 25 ns operation

2012 experiments to evaluate the scrubbing process in the LHC straight sections:
reduce the secondary emission yield to avoid build-up of electrons

E-cloud studies during LHC commissioning
O. Dominguez Sanchez de la Blanca, EPFL PhD student

Electron-cloud will determine the future runs conditions 25 ns or 50 ns!
Beams Stability and Luminosity Levelling

New issues for accelerator physics from the LHC:

- too High LUMINOSITY
- instability due to very high brightness beams (coherent instabilities present in most of the fills causing emittance growths & beam damps)

Study of luminosity levelling scenarios for LHC and HiLumi LHC to guarantee beam stability (use beam-beam head-on Landau damping to avoid coherent instabilities)

Stability of colliding Beams
X. Buffat, EPFL PhD student

Levelling test ATLAS/CMS
With offset
LHCb usual operation
β* leveling and collide&squeeze for stability!

CERN-ATS-Note-2012-071 MD X. Buffat, W. Herr, T. Pieloni, S. Redaelli J. Wenninger

Example of orbit offsets at β* steps, LHC MD

**Development of an operational beta-star leveling scheme for the LHC**

A. Gorzawski, EPFL PhD student

---

**a)** Single bunch test

**b)** Orbit reproducibility: 20 days later verification single bunches

**c)** β* and long-range: repeat above with 1 train of 48 bunches

**d)** β* 9 m → 0.6 m: cover the full range

Example Lumi-leveling by β* for HL-LHC scenario

Intensity decay due to burn-off

β* = 35 cm

β* = 15 cm
Accelerator Physics - WP2

Objectives

Task 2.1. Coordination and Communication
- Coordinate and schedule work package tasks
- Monitor work progress and inform the project management and work package participants
- Manage the WP budget and use of resources
- Prepare internal and deliverable reports

Task 2.2. Optics and Layout
- Identify layout options for the Interaction Region (IR) upgrades.
- Identify optics solutions for the LHC upgrade.

Task 2.3. Particle Simulations
- Determine field quality tolerances for new magnetic elements for the LHC upgrade.
- Specify tolerances of the correction circuit settings.
- Evaluate the dynamic aperture.

Task 2.4. Intensity Limitations
- Specify limits for maximum acceptable impedance of new components, and intensity limitations due to the machine impedance.
- Estimate impact of electron cloud effects.
- Estimate emittance growth rates from intrabeam scattering.

Task 2.5. Beam-Beam Effects
- Evaluate beam-beam effects for the LHC upgrade and identify minimum requirements for the beam separations in the Interaction Regions.
- Evaluate the limitations imposed by beam-beam interactions.

Task 2.6. Beam Parameter and Luminosity Optimization
- Identify relevant experience from LHC commissioning and operation.
- Determine optimum sets of machine and beam parameters based on the outcomes of Tasks 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5, and the operational experience of the LHC.
First involvements in LHC Upgrades before HiLumi-Project

\[ L = L_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma_{s1}^2 + \sigma_{s2}^2}{\sigma_{u1}^2 + \sigma_{u2}^2} (\tan \frac{\phi_u}{2})^2}} \]

LHC: 15-20%
HL-LHC: 70%

Local orbit bumps to alleviate the beam-beam long-range interactions and to mitigate the event multiplicity with luminosity leveling

An Early Separation Scheme for the LHC Luminosity Upgrade

1. The Early Separation Scheme

Several possible studies to define possible optics scenarios

LHC interaction region upgrade
Final goal: 3000 fb\(^{-1}\) by 2030’s...

- 5 \(10^{34}\) levelled lumi
- (25 \(10^{34}\) virtual peak lumi)
- 140 pile up (average)
- 3 fb\(-1\) per day
- 60% of efficiency
- 250 fb\(-1\) /year
- 300 fb\(-1\)/year as «ultimate»

FP- Full Performance upgrade (3000 fb\(^{-1}\)):
- crab cavities
- HB feedback system (SPS)
- Advanced collimation systems
- E-lens (?)

PIC-Performance Improving Consolidation Up-grade (\(\sim1000\) fb\(^{-1}\))
### HL-LHC parameters and beam dynamics

#### ‘Stretched’ Baseline Parameters following 2nd HL-LHC-LIU:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>nominal</th>
<th>25ns</th>
<th>50ns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1.15E+11</td>
<td>2.2E+11</td>
<td>3.5E+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_b )</td>
<td>2808</td>
<td>2808</td>
<td>1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beam current [A]</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-ing angle [( \mu )rad]</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beam separation [( \sigma )]</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta^* ) [m]</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_n ) [( \mu )m]</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_L ) [eVs]</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy spread</td>
<td>1.20E-04</td>
<td>1.20E-04</td>
<td>1.20E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bunch length [m]</td>
<td>7.50E-02</td>
<td>7.50E-02</td>
<td>7.50E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS horizontal [h]</td>
<td>80 -&gt; 106</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS longitudinal [h]</td>
<td>61 -&gt; 60</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piwinski parameter</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geom. reduction*</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beam-beam / IP</td>
<td>3.10E-03</td>
<td>3.3E-03</td>
<td>4.7E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Luminosity</td>
<td>1 ( 10^{34} )</td>
<td>7.4 ( 10^{34} )</td>
<td>8.5 ( 10^{34} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual Luminosity</td>
<td>1.2 ( 10^{34} )</td>
<td>24 ( 10^{34} )</td>
<td>26 ( 10^{34} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events/crossing</td>
<td>19 /28</td>
<td>207/140</td>
<td>476/140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Large \( \xi_{bb} \)**
- **DA studies with Working Point optimization & Orbit effects**
- **Large crossing angle requires compensation of geometrical factor by CRAB-CAVITIES**
- **High pile-up needs robust strategy for leveling**

---

O. Bruning
Crab-Cavities and beam-beam

\[ L = L_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma_{s1}^2 + \sigma_{s2}^2}{\sigma_{u1}^2 + \sigma_{u2}^2} (\tan \frac{\phi_u}{2})^2}} \]

LHC: 15-20%
HL-LHC: 70%

Crab Cavities and proton-proton collisions

- Study impact of crab cavities operation on p-p collisions
- Small offsets at IP can drive emittance growth and deteriorate lumi reach
- Evaluate failure scenarios and impact on colliding beams

Strong-strong beam-beam studies
J. Barranco, EPFL post-doctoral Fellow
Simulations: single particle long term tracking to define onset of chaotic motion

- 6 HL-LHC optics
- 11 crossing angles (from 400 to 900urad)
- 17 XY plane angles
- 6 amplitude (2 to 12 sigma)
- 8 beam intensity (1.6E11 to 3E11)
- 60 seed
- 6 physics case (BB, Error, crab, noise source..)

Close to **20Mjobs** to cover all possible cases! ....+ leveling scenarios + other IPS + ...?
It’s impossible to run such number of jobs on CERN lsf. **BOINC system** is the only way to go!
EPFL is main sponsor of the LHC@Home project on BOINC platform!
Not only people but computing infrastructures
LHC@home and EPFL HPC

About SIXTRACK

SIXTRACK is a research project that uses Internet-connected computers to advance Accelerator Physics. Participate by downloading and running a free program on your computer.

SIXTRACK is based at CERN. You can run it under the LHC@home application. Read more about the:
- SixTrack project
- SixTrack team

Join SIXTRACK

- Read our rules and policies
- This project uses BOINC. If you’re already running BOINC, selectAttach to Project. If not, download BOINC.
- When prompted, please enter:
  http://lhcatheomclass.ch/sixtrack/
- If you’re running a command-line or pre-5.0 version of BOINC, create an account first.
- If you have any problems, get help here.

Computing status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Users</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tasks ready to send</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>with recent credit</td>
<td>13,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasks in progress</td>
<td>31,242</td>
<td>with credit</td>
<td>113,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workunits waiting for validation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>registered in past 24 hours</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workunits waiting for assimilation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Computers</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workunits waiting for file deletion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>with recent credit</td>
<td>20,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasks waiting for file deletion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>with credit</td>
<td>295,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitioner backlog (hours)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>registered in past 24 hours</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>current GigaFLOPs</td>
<td>20,964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tasks by application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>application</th>
<th>unsent</th>
<th>in progress</th>
<th>avg runtime of last 100 results in h (min-max)</th>
<th>users in last 24h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SixTrack</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32,013</td>
<td>2.06 (0.01 - 13.08)</td>
<td>3,147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://lhcatheomclass.ch/sixtrack/
Summary

- Several contributions to the LHC over the past years with **12 PhD Thesis published between 2008 and 2013**
- Few studies showed, did not covered also **contribution to LHC injector chain and magnets design (2 PhD thesis)**
- Many studies on-going to push LHC performances after LS1 and to define HiLumi LHC scenarios ( **14 PhD students working on various subjects**)
- **2 EPFL post-doctoral fellows via FP7 to HiLumi LHC** with responsibility to define HiLumi possible scenarios for colliding beams (HL-LHC optimization, crab-cavities dynamics, leveling scenarios...)
- Supports and provides **computing facilities** where needed LHC@home and HPC EPFL (BB studies impossible without!)
- ...looking also at future new machines!
**pp machines beyond the LHC: HL-, HE- and VHE-LHC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LHC</th>
<th>HL-LHC</th>
<th>HE-LHC</th>
<th>VHE-LHC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>c.m. energy</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circumference (km)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dipole field (T)</strong></td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N_b (\cdot10^{11} ppb)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(\beta^*) (m)</strong></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(\varepsilon_N) (\mu m)</strong></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(\varepsilon_s) (eVs)</strong></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(\sigma_s) (cm)</strong></td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current (A)</strong></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stored energy per beam (GJ)</strong></td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SR power per ring (kW)</strong></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>2.9\cdot10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arc heat load (W/m/aperture)</strong></td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy loss per turn (keV)</strong></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>201.3</td>
<td>5.9\cdot10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Luminosity (\cdot10^{34} cm^{-2}s^{-1})</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0 (lev.)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ~18 times the LHC value!
- Very high SR
- unprecedented SR damping in hadron machines: Beam dynamics driver

1) Luminosity performance studies (different scenarios, basic parameters, etc.)
2) e\(^-\) cloud studies
2013-2008 PhD Thesis:

- Electromagnetic Simulation of CERN Accelerator Components and Experimental Application, 
  C. Zannini; L. Rivkin and G. Rumolo (Dirs.).

- Heat Transfer between the Superconducting Cables of the LHC Accelerator Magnets and the Superfluid Helium Bath. 
  P. P. Granieri; L. Rivkin (Dir.).

- High Intensity Beam Issues in the CERN Proton Synchrotron. S. Aumon

- The LHC Transverse Coupled-Bunch Instability. N. Mounet; L. Rivkin and E. Métral (Dirs.)

- Cyclotron Designs for Ion Beam Therapy with Cyclinacs. Garonna; L. Rivkin and U. Amaldi (Dirs.).

- Study and Experimental Characterization of a Novel Photo Injector for the CLIC Drive Beam 
  Ö. Mete; L. Rivkin and S. Döbert (Dirs.).

- Beam-Machine Interaction Studies for the Phase II LHC Collimation System. L. Lari; L. Rivkin (Dir.).

- Performance Evaluation of a Crystal-Enhanced Collimation System for the LHC. V. Previtali; L. Rivkin (Dir.).

- Impedance model of the CERN SPS and aspects of LHC single-bunch stability. B. Salvant; L. Rivkin and E. Métral (Dirs.).

- An early separation scheme for the LHC luminosity upgrade. G. Sterbini; L. Rivkin (Dir.).

- Commissioning scenarios and tests for the LHC collimation system. C. Bracco; L. Rivkin (Dir.).

- A finite element model of the LHC dipole cold mass with hysteretic, non-linear behavior and single turn description: towards the interpretation of magnet quenches. M. Pojer; L. Rivkin (Dir.).

- A study of beam-beam effects in hadron colliders with a large number of bunches. 
  T. Pieloni; A. Bay and L. Rivkin (Dirs.).

- LHC interaction region upgrade. R. De Maria; L. Rivkin (Dir.).
SPS kicker impedance model

SPS kicker magnet

SPS extraction kicker (MKE) without serigraphy

SPS extraction kicker (MKE) with serigraphy

Quarter wavelength resonance on the finger length

Longitudinal impedance

Thesis n. 5737 (2013)
Main topics to which the PhD thesis was addressed:

http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/153492

- Can the Ph2 collimators withstand the energy density deposition induced by the primary p+ losses?
- Which is the distribution of the thermal loads in the collimator components during the most destructive possible failure mode?
- How much is the influence of collimators on the residual ambient dose equivalent levels in the region in which they are installed?
- Which are the consequences of Ph2 on the adjacent equipments?
- How can we evaluate the degradation of the collimator materials due by radiation?
Heat Transfer between the Superconducting Cables of the LHC Accelerator Magnets and the Superfluid Helium Bath


- Experimental characterization of heat transfer in LHC SC coils operating in superfluid helium (He II)
- Development of a thermally enhanced insulation for HL-LHC
- Modeling of He II heat transfer through Nb-Ti cable insulation
  - Fundamental investigation of He II heat transport laws in narrow channels
  - Computation of LHC and HL-LHC magnets quench limits

4–5 times!
HL-LHC Work-Package Structure

- WP1 Project Management and Technical Coordination
- WP2 Accelerator Physics and Performance
- WP3 Magnets for Insertion Regions
- WP4 Crab Cavities
- WP5 Collimation
- WP6 Cold Powering
- WP7 Machine Protection
- WP8 Collider-Experiment Interface
- WP9 Cryogenics
- WP10 Energy Deposition & Absorber
- WP11 11-T Dipole Two-in-One for DS
- WP12 Vacuum
- WP13 Beam Diagnostics
- WP14 Integration & (De-)installation
- WP15 Hardware Commissioning
- WP16 High-Energy LHC – Studies
- WP17 High-Field Magnets – R&D
- FRESCA2
LHC Injector chain:

Injectors performances beyond LHC Design

Possible beam scenarios from injectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of bunches</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Emit LHC (SPS) [um]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 ns</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>1.15e11</td>
<td>3.75 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 ns low emit</td>
<td>2320</td>
<td>1.15e11</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(48 bunches/PS batch)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ns</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>1.6e11</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ns low emit</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1.6e11</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(24 bunches/PS batch)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- After LS1 physics run
- LHC test-bench for HiLumi LHC studies
- Injectors up-grade

Injectors are a fundamental input to the big collider performances!
LHC and Swiss contribution to accelerator

Electron cloud

Collimation

Beam-Beam electromagnetic interactions

Impedance

Lumi Leveling: techniques and scenarios

Intensity decay due to burn-off

$\beta^* = 15 \text{ cm}$

$\beta^* = 35 \text{ cm}$